

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/854,463 05/15/2001		Miska Hannuksela	059341-0250	8071	
27433	7590 09/25/2006	EXAMINER			
FOLEY & LARDNER LLP			REKSTAD, ERICK J		
321 NORTH CLARK STREET SUITE 2800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	L 60610-4764		2621		
	•		DATE MAILED: 09/25/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			09/854,463	HANNUKSELA	HANNUKSELA ET AL.			
			Examiner	Art Unit				
			Erick Rekstad	2621				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communi or Reply	ication appe	ears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence	address			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE Masions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common period for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months are departed term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DA of 37 CFR 1.130 nunication. atutory period wi will, by statute, or	TE OF THIS COMMUN 6(a). In no event, however, may ill apply and will expire SIX (6) Mo cause the application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of thi ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	is communication.			
Status								
1\⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on O5 lui	no 2006					
2a)	·							
2a)□ 3)□								
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
		50 undo. 27	A parto quayro, 1000 C	.5 , 100 0.0. 210.				
Dispositi	on of Claims							
•	Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 15-62</u> is/are pendin	•	•					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)⊠	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,15-20,23-25,28-33 and 45-51</u> is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>21,22,26,27,34-44 and 52-62</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) 🗌	Claim(s) are subject to restric	tion and/or	election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner		_				
· <u></u>	·			o by the Examiner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including			•				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to		·		. ,			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	·						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign :	nriority under 35 H.S.C.	8 119(a) ₋ (d) or (f)				
_	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	ioi ioicigii į	priority under 55 0.5.0	. 3 119(a)-(d) 01 (l).				
u)լ								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies				nal Stane			
	application from the Internation	<u>-</u>	•	on received in this idation	iai Otage			
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	nt received				
	oc the attached dotailed Chiloc dotto		or the defaned dopies in	ot received.				
Attachment	• •							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P	TO 049)		v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date				
·	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	10-340)		f Informal Patent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/8/06</u> . 6) Other:								

DETAILED ACTION

This is a second Non-Final Rejection for Application no. 09/854,463 in response to the amendment filed on June 5, 2006, wherein claims 1, 2, and 15-62 are presented for examination.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, see Pages 14-18 filed June 5, 2006, with respect to claims 15-62 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of claims 15-62 has been withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 21, 22, 26, 27, 34-44, and 52-62 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The claims do not require that the error concealment method indicator originates from the encoded video signal. The specification only supports the use of an error concealment method indicator located in the encoded video signal. The specification does not support the decoder identifying an error concealment method indicator outside of the encoded video signal. It is suggest by the examiner to amend the claims 21, 26 and 27 by replacing "error occurs" at the end of the claims with

"error occurs, wherein the decoding method identifies the encoding mode indicator and separate error concealment method indicator from the encoded video signal".

Claims 22, 34-39, 41-44, and 52-62 are rejected as being dependent on the rejected claims 21 and 26.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-2 and 15-20, 23-25, 28-33, and 45-51 allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

In regards to claims 1 and 2, the claims pertain to a novel method for coding video that the examiner was unable to find in several prior art searches. As noted in the previous office action, dated June 14, 2005, the claims define over the combination of the prior art of Choon (US Patent 5,410,553) and Reed et al. (US Patent 6,351,493).

The claims further teach over 'Concealment techniques for data-reduced HDTV recording' by Kharatichvili et al. Kharatichvili teaches a HDTV encoding and decoding process for recording on a VCR wherein a mode decision flag is added to the bitstream for controlling error concealment during the decoding process (Pages 178-179). Kharatichvili is silent on the use of H.263 encoding format and the inclusion of the error concealment indicator in the Supplemental Enhancement Information. These features taken with the others in the claims define over the prior art.

In regards to claims 15, 23, and 28, Kharatichvili teaches the use of an encoded video signal indicator but is silent on the use of a separate error concealment method indicator which is provided in the encoded video signal. This feature taken with the others in the claims define over the prior art.

Art Unit: 2621

In regards to claims 19, 35, 46, and 53, Kharatichvili is silent on the use of H.263 and the inclusion of the error concealment indicator in the Supplemental Enhancement Information. This feature taken with the others in the claims define over the prior art.

In regards to claims 29, and 47, Kharatichvili and Reed are silent on the use of the indicator as a "scene identifier associated with the scenes, the scene identifier having the same value for all pictures of a scene, the scene identifier having a different value for each different scene" as required by claims 29 and 47. These features taken with the others in the claims define over the prior art.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Erick Rekstad whose telephone number is 571-272-7338. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mehrdad Dastouri can be reached on 571-272-7418. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2621

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Erick Rekstad Examiner

AU 2621 (571) 272-7338

erick.rekstad@uspto.gov